

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/083,966	05/26/1998	NICHOLAS J. DORAN	604-445	4850
9629 75	590 08/26/2003			
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			EXAMINER	
WASHINGTO	LVANIA AVENUE NW N, DC 20004		NEGASH, KINFE MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2633	
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003	32

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
, Office Action Comments	09/083,966	DORAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kinfe-Michael Negash	2633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a repl within the statutory minimum of thirty ( ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	<u>une 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-14,17-20 and 22-49</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-14,17-20 and 22-49</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>	, ,					
Attachment(s)	-	-				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 31</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Inf	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2633

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 10-14,17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 10-13 and 17-20 recite the limitation "the dispersion management system" in line 6 respectively. Also, note line 4 of claim 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 10,12,17,19, and 36-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Electronics Letters, Vol. 31, No. 3, pp. 216-217(cited by applicant).

Application/Control Number: 09/083,966

Art Unit: 2633

The Nakazawa article in Electronics Letters in Fig. 1 shows an optical soliton communication system comprising a multiplicity of fiber lengths(L) of opposite sign dispersion and being formed as a multiplicity of unit cells, and wherein the path average dispersion of the multiplicity of unit cells is anomalous. Thus, claims 10,12,17,19, and 36-39 are anticipated.

As to claims 40-41, the reference is considered to meet the subject matter of the claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Application/Control Number: 09/083,966

Art Unit: 2633

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claims 11,13-14,18,20,22-32, and 43-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Electronics Letters, Vol. 31, No. 3, pp. 216-217(cited by applicant).

As to claims 11,13-14,18,20,43-46, the Nakazawa article in Electronics Letters in Fig. 1 discloses the subject matter of the claims except for the claimed pulse profile of substantially Gaussian shape. However, since the uses of pulses having substantially Gaussian shape in optical communications systems is well known in the art, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use such a pulse in the system disclosed in Nakazawa(if not already used) in order to minimize susceptibility to noise and interference.

Regarding claims 22 and 29, the Nakazawa article in Electronics Letters in Fig. 1 discloses the subject matter of the claims except for the predetermined energy being greater than that for launching a soliton or soliton-like pulse in an equivalent uniform system. Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to increase the pulse energy in Nakazawa by increasing the power in the system in order to increase the distance of communication before the pulse gets attenuated.

Application/Control Number: 09/083,966

Art Unit: 2633

Concerning claims 25,27, and 31, the same argument used in the rejection of claims 11,13-14 .... Applies here also.

Regarding claims 23-24,28, and 47-49, the reference is considered to meet the subject matter of the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kinfe-Michael Negash whose telephone number is (703)305-4932. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (703)305-4729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

Kinfe-Michael Negash

Page 5

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2633

KN

August 24,2003